

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/627,093	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CIERVO, RICHARD D.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John D. Alexander	<b>Art Unit</b> 3762	

**All Participants:**

(1) John D. Alexander.

(2) Arthur G. Schaier.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2005

**Time:** 11:15 am Eastern

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Art rejections (not 112s)*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-3, 5-15, and 18-21*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Patent Nos. 6405077, 5308300, and 6783482*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Interview was initiated in response to Mr. Schaier's request to be telephoned if issues exist that prevent allowance. The substance of examiner's Final Rejection was briefly and generally discussed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)